UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,961	05/31/2005	Yukiko Nishioka	2005-0880A	6378
	7590 09/25/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/536,961	NISHIOKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Markoff	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Seconds</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the prac	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examines	vn from consideration.  relection requirement. r.	ov the Evaminer			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/31/05, 7/14/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/536,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doi et al (US Patent NO 6,192,903 in combination with Satou et al (US Patent NO 6,159,288).

Doi et al teach a method and apparatus as claimed except for the specific recitation of providing a liquid repellent material on the holding and rotating mechanisms of the apparatus. See entire document, especially Figures 1, 5 and the related description and description at column 1, 3, 5, 7-11.

Doi et al teach making of some of the parts of the apparatus, which are in contact with liquid from the repellent material (fluorine resin). The document is silent regarding the other parts.

Satou et al teach to cover all the structural parts of the apparatus, which are in contact with liquids, with a liquid repellent material (fluorine resin, such PTFE and its copolymers). They also teach that such improves the processing results and reduces residual contamination. See entire document, especially Figure 5 and the related description at columns 13-15. As to the requirement of the stepwise changing the speed recited by claims 17 and 18. Doi et al teach rotation at number of different rpm. See Figure 5 and the related description.

It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to provide coating recited by Satou et al on all the surfaces of the apparatus of Doi et al, which are in contact with liquids during the processing, in order to improve processing and to reduce residual contamination.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,961 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 10-028918 and 2003-051477 cited by the applicants teach that it was known to provide repellent coatings on the inner parts of the wafer processing apparatuses. JP 2003-092280, which is also cited by the applicants teaches that a stepwise speed profile was known in the art to improve processing/drying methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,961

Art Unit: 1792

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792 Page 5

/Alexander Markoff/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792